# Ovidiana 

By W. S. Watt, Aberdeen, Scotland

I. Heroides

## 11, 43f. (Canace to Macareus) <br> a, nimium vivax admotis restitit infans artibus et tecto tutus ab hoste fuit.

Canace's unborn child resists the attempts at abortion made by her nurse.
The manuscripts vary between tecto (so P) and tectus. Although the former is the vulgate, it has more than once been queried as a suitable epithet for the nurse, and the similarity of tecto (or tectus) and tutus is suspicious. I suggest artibus; infesto, comparing 9, 36 infesto ne vir ab hoste cadat and Am. 1, 9, 17 infestos ... in hostes.

12, 89ff. (Medea to Jason)
haec animum (et quota pars haec sunt!) movere puellae simplicis, et dextrae dextera iuncta meae.
vidi etiam lacrimas (an pars est fraudis in illis?); sic cito sum verbis capta puella tuis.

Housman (Class. Papers 405) objected to (a) the question in line 91 as being absurd (Medea knows that Jason's tears helped to cajole her), (b) est instead of fuit ('the tears and the cajolery are both of them past and gone'). The former objection is justified; the latter has been convincingly disposed of by D. R. Shackleton Bailey in CQ 4 (1954) 165. It only remains to emend an. Both ac (Riese) and sua (Shackleton Bailey) are possible, but L. Mueller's a! would not conform to Ovidian usage (see A. Kershaw in CP 78, 1983, 233). I would add nam, which is an easy change and very common indeed in a parenthesis.

13, 159ff. (Laodamia to Protesilaus)
per reditus corpusque tuum, mea numina, iuro
perque pares animi coniugiique faces
perque quod ut videam canis albere capillis
quod tecum possis ipse referre caput ...

* I am very grateful to Professor E. J. Kenney for detailed and most helpful comments on an earlier version of these notes.

In 162 quod spoils the grammar, and looks like an erroneous repetition from the previous line; and tecum is bizarre. What is required is something like Riese's mox tutum or Birt's integrum or (I suggest) intactum (cf. ThLL VII 1, 2068, 29ff.); Laodamia then repeats what she has already said at 72 , te quoque non ullum vulnus habente.

20, 175ff. (Acontius to Cydippe)
hoc faciente subis tam saeva pericula vitae; atque utinam pro te qui movet illa cadat!
quem si reppuleris nec quem dea damnat amaris, et tu continuo, certe ego salvus ero.
It is the suit of the other man which is causing Cydippe's ill health; if she rejects that, she will get well. 'If Cydippe is not well, neither can Acontius be, for "iuncta salus nostra est" says he at 233sq., "miserere meique tuique: / quid dubitas unam ferre duobus opem?"'; so Housman, Class. Papers 419. In order to eliminate certe, which is unmetrical and 'perverts the sense', Housman rewrites the pentameter continuo per te $\langle$ tunc $\rangle$ ego salvus ero, a line which he admits 'is not at all to be admired'. If such a rewriting is to be considered, I suggest tecum continuo sospite salvus ero; if sospite fell out before salvus, the resulting gap would have had to be filled somehow. Compare not only (with Housman) line 186 teque simul serva meque but also 19, 206 numquam nisi te sospite sospes ero and (for tecum) 21, 247 quid nisi, si cupio mihi iam contingere tecum, / restat, ut adscribat littera nostra 'vale'?

## 21, 24 (Cydippe to Acontius)

excreat et $\dagger$ ficta $\dagger$ dat mihi signa nota.
While Cydippe is writing to Acontius she posts her nurse outside the room to signal the approach of visitors, so that she should have time to conceal the unfinished letter.

To replace ficta a number of words have been suggested, e.g. tecta, dicta, pacta, certa. I suggest that we need do no more than write $d$ for $c t$; both the nurse herself and the signal she gives can appropriately be called fida.

21, 211 ff .
certe ego convalui nondum de vulnere tali, ut iaculo scriptis eminus icta tuis.
quid tamen huc venias? sane miserabile corpus ingenii videas bina tropaea tui.
vulnere tali, which has been misunderstood (e.g. by Palmer), is correctly explained by E. J. Kenney (CQ 29, 1979, 422) as a wound caused by writing. Now Acontius had wounded Cydippe twice by his writing, first on the apple, secondly in the letter to which she is now replying. Hence bina in 214 should be retained; it is wrong to change it to magna (which has often been adopted) or digna or anything else.

## II. Amores

$2,14,9 \mathrm{f}$.
si mos antiquis placuisset matribus idem, gens hominum vitio deperitura fuit.

The mos in question is the practice of abortion.
'Burman's dubious explanation that hominum is to be understood twice (i.e. gens hominum hominum ( $=$ suo) vitio ...) seems the right one', says E. J. Kenney, CQ 8 (1958) 63. I find this incredible: even if it is linguistically possible, the sense is weak. I think that Bentley was right in desiderating an adverb, but his subito does not give the right sense: the human race would have died out not 'suddenly' but 'quickly' or 'soon'; read citiu $\langle s\rangle$. Ovid uses citius in the sense of cito at Her. 1. 110 tu citius venias.

## III. Medicamina Faciei Femineae

35 ff .
sic potius $\dagger$ uos urget $\dagger$ amor quam fortibus herbis,
quas maga terribili subsecat arte manus:
nec vos graminibus nec mixto credite suco
nec temptate nocens virus amantis equae.
A girl's beauty is better than magic herbs for (?arousing, ?retaining, ?recovering) love.

This passage is discussed by G. P. Goold in HSCP 69 (1965) 58. His conjecture iungendus fits the context but is (I think) palaeographically incredible. He makes the point that the ablative herbis calls for a passive verb; I suggest servetur. Haplography of $s$ and neglect of the $u r$ compendium would produce eruet, 'emended' to urget, and uos has been introduced from 37 to mend the metre; the jussive verb fits in with the following imperatives.

That magic is useless in matters of love is a theme which recurs at Ars 2, 99-106, a passage which is very similar to ours. Note especially servari at 103f. Phasias Aesoniden, Circe tenuisset Ulixem, / si modo servari carmine posset amor.

## IV．Ars Amatoria

1，389f．
aut $\dagger$ non temptasses $\dagger$ aut perfice：tollitur index cum semel in partem criminis ipsa venit．

Should one try to seduce the ancilla of the woman one would win？
The available remedies are：aut non temptaris（Heinsius）；aut nolim temptes（Lenz）；aut non 〈rem＞temptes（Courtney）．The first of these is ungram－ matical（see Courtney，BICS 29，1982，49f．），the other two are unconvincing on palaeographical grounds．In this context we should expect temptare to have its sexual sense，as at 273 femina ．．．blande temptata， 365 tum quoque temptanda est，and 394 perprime temptatam．I therefore suggest aut non tempta〈nda〉 est （sc．ancilla）．I see no difficulty in temptanda est being followed by perfice（sc． rem）；for the imperative perfice（＇finish the job＇）without rem see Cic．Att．7，1， 8 and $13,32,1$（similarly confice in several passages of Cicero＇s Letters）．

1，543f．
> ebrius，ecce，senex pando Silenus asello vix sedet et pressas continet arte iubas．

The adverbial use of arte，＇skilfully＇，is very common in Ovid，but to say that drunken Silenus＇s assmanship was＇skilful＇is the opposite of the truth（at 546f．the malus eques proceeds to fall off）．Hence Merkel suggested ante， ＇before him＇，a ridiculous reading，suggesting as it does that he might have had the ass＇s mane at his rear．Kenney in his OCT takes arte as ironical，but I think that there is a better solution：read non tenet arte，＇unskilfully holds＇．Surely te－ net，not continet，is the word which we should expect，and the order of words （non separated from arte）need cause no surprise；examples of non separated from the word to which it belongs are given by Housman in Class．Papers 1228 and in his note on Lucan 1，145．For the confusion between non and the prefix con cf．Cic．Fam．5，20， 9 （conscindi／non scindi），7，12， 1 （non placebas／con－ placebas），11，2， 1 （non scripsissemus／conscripsissemus）．

For pressas Heinsius suggested prensas，which has apparently been univ－ ersally ignored．I think it is right．

1，581f．
huic，si sorte bibes，sortem concede priorem：
huic detur capiti missa corona tuo．
Pay compliments to the husband of the woman you would win．
＇La couronne posée sur ta tête＇（Bornecque，Budé edition）；this is surely an impossible meaning for missa．＇The garland which has dropped from your
head' (Mozley-Goold, Loeb edition); this is perhaps possible, although the natural word would be lapsa (as at Am. 1, 6, 38, Martial 11, 8, 10; cf. delapsa est at Met. 2, 600). But Dousa had a better idea: 'coronam tuo capiti paratam destinatamque illi impone, tamquam meliorem ac digniorem demereri cuperes'. However the natural Latin for parata destinataque is not missa but (I suggest) nexa.

